Temperature-dependent defect dynamics in the network glass SiO$_2$
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We investigate the long time dynamics of a strong glass former, SiO$_2$, below the glass transition temperature by averaging single-particle trajectories over time windows which comprise roughly 100 particle oscillations. The structure on this coarse-grained time scale is very well defined in terms of coordination numbers, allowing us to identify ill-coordinated atoms, which are called defects in the following. The most numerous defects are O-O neighbors, whose lifetimes are comparable to the equilibration time at low temperature. On the other hand, SiO and OSi defects are very rare and short lived. The lifetime of defects is found to be strongly temperature dependent, consistent with activated processes. Single-particle jumps give rise to local structural rearrangements. We show that in SiO$_2$ these structural rearrangements are coupled to the creation or annihilation of defects, giving rise to very strong correlations of jumping atoms and defects.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Amorphous SiO$_2$, or silica, has many fascinating features. Silica is of importance in geology, chemistry, physics, and industrial applications. To classify the huge variety of glass formers in general [1–3], we distinguish fragile and strong glass formers [3–5]. Silica is a typical strong glass former, i.e., the shear viscosity exhibits Arrhenius behavior at low temperature and pressure. With increasing temperature, SiO$_2$ undergoes at $T_c$, a strong to fragile transition [6] and, for large pressure, critical behavior of a liquid-like transition has been observed [7].

We investigate here SiO$_2$ via molecular dynamics simulations using the van Beest-Kramer-van Santen (BKS) potential [8] for the particle interactions. Since previous simulations had shown that the BKS potential is a very good model for real silica ([6,9–11] and references therein), many simulations with the BKS potential followed, giving us insight into the phase diagram [12–15], energy landscape [16–20], specific heat [21], vibrational spectrum [11,22–25], dynamic heterogeneities [26–29], and aging [30–33].

For temperatures below $T_c = 3330$ K [6], BKS SiO$_2$ is a strong glass former. A striking similarity with fragile glass formers has been found for single-particle jump dynamics [32]. This is surprising at first sight because the local structures in fragile and strong glasses differ considerably. In fragile glasses, the concept of a cage is well established and jumps are interpreted as particles escaping from their cage. The underlying structure in SiO$_2$, on the other hand, is based on randomly connected tetrahedra, forming a macroscopic random network. Even though the macroscopic network is random, coordination numbers are very well defined, so that defects are easily identified. In this paper, we address the question of to what extent jump events are correlated with the creation of defects. In future work, we plan to investigate spatial and temporal correlations between single jump events which are presumably required for diffusive mass transport to occur.

Our focus is on structural rearrangements well inside the glassy phase. At low temperature, we expect a clear separation of time scales, such that oscillations around preferred positions are characterized by short time scales, whereas (rare) structural changes occur on much longer time scales. To illuminate the latter, we filter out short time oscillations by averaging particle trajectories and analyze the time-averaged single-particle trajectories $\{\mathbf{r}_i(t)\}$ in terms of jumps of particles and creation and annihilation of defects. We find a clear temperature dependence of the time-averaged dynamics, which is already apparent in single-particle trajectories; an example is shown in Fig. 1. Whereas for the lowest temperatures under consideration jump events are well separated in time by long quiescent periods, this separation of time scales is gradually lost when the glass transition is approached from below.

Our approach is similar in spirit to the analysis of inherent structures [16–19,34,35], where instantaneous configurations are quenched to their local potential energy minimum. In [16,18], energy minimized configurations have been analyzed for BKS SiO$_2$ in order to explain the observed crossover from strong to fragile behavior. We expect the time-averaged trajectories to be strongly correlated with the corresponding inherent structures. However, in contrast to inherent structures, our approach allows us to study the dynamics of local structural rearrangements. Whereas time-averaged trajectories have been studied previously to detect jump events [32,36–39], we use here time-averaged trajectories also to enhance the underlying local structure and therewith to detect defects. This allows us to directly measure correlations of jumps and defects.

After introducing the model in Sec. II, we show in Sec. III that the radial distribution functions of the time-averaged trajectories are considerably sharpened as compared to the corresponding distributions for the unaveraged trajectories. This implies a stable, well-defined structure on time scales that are large compared to a typical oscillation period. This time-averaged structure is only weakly temperature dependent. The sharp peak structure allows us to define defects with the help of the coordination number. Number and lifetime of the
defects as a function of temperature are discussed in Sec. IV. In Sec. V, we explore to what degree the jumps defined in [32] are correlated with the defects. We summarize our results and draw conclusions in Sec. VI.

II. MODEL AND SIMULATION DETAILS

To model amorphous SiO$_2$, we used the BKS potential [8]. We carried out molecular dynamics (MD) simulations with $N_{Si} = 112$ silica atoms and $N_O = 224$ oxygen atoms and at constant volume $V = (16.920468\ \text{Å}^3)$, which corresponds to a density of $\rho = 2.323 \text{ g/cm}^3$.

At 6000 K, we generated 20 independent configurations, which then were fully equilibrated at initial temperature $T_i \in [5000 \text{ K}, 3760 \text{ K}]$ followed by an instantaneous quench to lower temperatures $T_i \in [2500 \text{ K}, 2750 \text{ K}, 3000 \text{ K}, 3250 \text{ K}]$, i.e., to temperatures below $T_f = 3330 \text{ K}$. Unque to our simulations is that we applied the Nosé-Hoover temperature bath at $T_f$ only for the first 0.327 ns (NVT) and then continued with constant energy (NVE) for 32.7 ns to disturb the dynamics minimally. As shown in [31], we confirmed that $T_f$ stayed constant. The MD time step was 1.02 and 1.6 fs during the (NVT) and (NVE) run, respectively. For further details of the simulations, we refer the reader to [31].

We analyzed the combined (NVT) and (NVE) simulation runs at $T_f$. Specifically, for this paper, we focus on major structural events by analyzing time-averaged single-particle trajectories $\mathbf{F}_i(t_l)$ at times $t_l = l \Delta t_{av}$. The typical time scale of an oscillation is around $3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s}$, roughly 20 times the MD step. The time average is taken over $\Delta t_{av}$, which has to be chosen large as compared to the oscillation time and sufficiently small to resolve structural rearrangements such as single-particle jumps and the creation and annihilation of defects. For most of the data presented below, we have used $\Delta t_{av} = 3.2710^{-12} \text{ s}$, allowing for $l = 1, \ldots, 10$ 100 points of the trajectory, but we have checked other values of $\Delta t_{av}$ as well (see below).

III. RADIAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION AND COORDINATION NUMBER

We first discuss the structural properties of our system on time scales that are long compared to a typical oscillation period. To that end, we first compute the radial distribution functions for the time-averaged trajectories and compare them to the corresponding quantities for the unaveraged trajectories, representing the structure on microscopic time scales. We then go on to discuss the temperature dependence of the time-averaged structure and the distribution of coordination numbers.

A. Radial distribution function of time-averaged trajectories

To analyze the local structure implied by the time-averaged trajectories, we compute

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \frac{V}{N_{\alpha} N_{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\beta}} \delta(|\mathbf{r} - |\mathbf{F}_i(t_l)|).$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \{\text{Si}, \text{O}\}$ (for the case of $\alpha = \beta$, the denominator is $N_{\alpha} (N_{\alpha} - 1)$), and $\mathbf{F}_i(t_l)$ is defined via the time-averaged trajectories $\mathbf{F}_i(t_l) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_l}^{t_l+\Delta t} \mathbf{F}(t) \, dt$. To increase statistics in all of the following (unless otherwise specified), the ensemble average $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is obtained via an average over 20 independent simulation runs and an average over 1000 consecutive times, $t_l$, starting at a waiting time $t_w = 16.35 \text{ ns}$. For all of the following figures, we used $T_f = 5000 \text{ K}$. (We have checked other waiting times as well as different $T_f$; see Sec. IV B). As will be shown below, the typical relaxation times in our system are larger than $\Delta t_{av}$, so that configurations at different $t_l$ are not completely uncorrelated. Therefore, we determine error bars via the 20 independent simulation runs.

In Figs. 2 and 3, we compare the pair correlation for trajectories with and without time averaging. We conclude that time averaging ($\Delta t_{av} > 0$ curves) sharpens the pair correlation
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) Examples for time-averaged single-particle (O-atom) trajectories for $T_i = 5000 \text{ K}$ at $T_f = 2500 \text{ K}$ (left figure) and at $T_f = 3250 \text{ K}$ (right figure).
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Radial distribution function $g_{\alpha\beta}(r)$ as defined in Eq. (1) using different time averages $\Delta t_{av}$ for the time average of $\mathbf{F}_i(t)$. Here, for final temperatures, $T_f = 2500 \text{ K}$ quenched from $T_i = 5000 \text{ K}$.
peak height is increasing with decreasing temperature. The first nearest-neighbor peak of \( g_{\text{SiO}} \) for \( \Delta t = 0 \) ns. The insets are enlargements of the first and second peaks. The first peak height is increasing with decreasing temperature.

```
FIG. 3. (Color online) Radial distribution function as in Fig. 2, but here for final temperature \( T_f = 3250 \) K.
```

drastically, both for low \( (T_f = 2500 \) K) and high \( (T_f = 3250 \) K) temperatures. The enhancement is particularly strong for the nearest-neighbor peak of \( g_{\text{SiO}} \), implying that the structural unit of one tetrahedron with an Si atom at the center and four O atoms at the corners is well defined. We obtain the same \( g_{\text{SiO}}(r) \) for \( \Delta t = 0.00327 \) ns and \( \Delta t = 0.00654 \) ns, supporting the separation of time scales, so that time averaging over \( \Delta t \) allows us to filter out the main structural features of an underlying network that is highly ordered in the sense that nearest-neighbor distances are well defined on time scales of the order of 0.005 ns.

**B. Temperature dependence of the time-averaged structure**

Next we investigate how the time-averaged structure depends on temperature. In Figs. 4 and 5, we compare the radial distribution function, as defined in Eq. (1), for four different temperatures. For \( g_{\text{SiO}}(r) \) (see Fig. 4), we find an increase of roughly 20% for the temperature range investigated. Compared to the increase by a factor of \( \sim 5 \) due to time averaging, this is a rather mild effect. Similarly, for \( g_{\text{OO}}(r) \) (see Fig. 5), the first peak is enhanced by roughly 5%, again small compared to the increase by a factor of \( \sim 2 \) due to time averaging. For distances beyond nearest neighbors, the radial distribution function of the time-averaged configurations is basically temperature independent. We conclude that the time-averaged structure is only weakly temperature dependent.

**C. Coordination numbers**

For all investigated time-averaged \( g_{\text{SiO}}(r) \), the first peak is very sharp and the minimum between the first and second peaks is very deep, indicating a well-defined first neighbor shell. We therefore define, for each particle \( i \) of particle type \( \alpha \) at time \( t_i \), the coordination number \( z_{\alpha i} \) to be the number of other particles \( j \) of type \( \beta \) which satisfy

\[
| | \mathbf{r}_j(t_j) - \mathbf{r}_i(t_i) | | < r_{\min}^{\alpha \beta},
\]

where \( r_{\min}^{\text{SiSi}} = 3.42 \) Å, \( r_{\min}^{\text{SiO}} = 2.40 \) Å, and \( r_{\min}^{\text{OO}} = 3.00 \) Å. The resulting coordination number distributions \( P_{\alpha \beta} \) are plotted in Figs. 6 and 7, and are ensemble averaged as explained after Eq. (1).

Please note that the distributions \( P(z) \) are so sharply peaked that we chose a logarithmic scale. At \( T_f = 2500 \) K (thick lines), 99.9% of the Si atoms are surrounded by four O atoms and 99.9% of the O atoms are surrounded by two Si atoms. Even at \( T_f = 3250 \) K (thin dark lines), there are 98.8% Si atoms with \( z^{\text{SiO}} = 4 \) and 99.1% O atoms with \( z^{\text{SiSi}} = 2 \). The time-averaged configurations form an almost perfect O corner sharing network of SiO4 tetrahedra. \( P_{\text{SiOO}(z)} \) probes this network on the length scale of tetrahedra to tetrahedra connections. Also on this length scale, we find that the coordination is almost perfect in the time-averaged configurations: for \( T_f = 3250 \) K \( (T_i = 2500 \) K), 95.5% (99.1%) of Si atoms are surrounded by
four Si atoms. The broadest distribution is $P_{OOS}(z)$ for which 90% of the O atoms are surrounded by $z_{i}^{OO} = 6$ O atoms.

### IV. DEFECTS

In the previous section, we showed that the time-averaged configurations form an almost perfect network with respect to the coordination number. Now we turn to our main point: What is the role of defects in the network architecture for the long time dynamics? How does the local structure of the network change, when particles jump, giving rise to diffusion and relaxation on long time scales. We first characterize defects in terms of their number and lifetimes (Sec. IV) and then go on to discuss their correlation with jump events (Sec. V).
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**FIG. 6.** (Color online) Distribution of coordination number $P_{i}(z)$ for the number of Si neighbors of an O atom ($P_{OSi}$ in left panel) and for the number of O neighbors of an Si atom ($P_{SO}$ in right panel). Thick lines are for $T_i = 2500$ K and thin dark lines are for $T_i = 3250$ K.
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**FIG. 7.** (Color online) Distribution of coordination number $P_{i}(z)$ for the number of Si neighbors of an Si atom ($P_{SiSi}$ in left panel) and for the number of O neighbors of an O atom ($P_{OO}$ in right panel). Thick lines are for $T_i = 2500$ K and thin dark lines are for $T_i = 3250$ K.

With increasing temperature, the fraction of defects increases approximately following Arrhenius behavior (with the exception of $M^{D}_{OO}$, which is equally well fitted by a power law). These results are in accordance with the work of Horbach and Kob [6] who, however, use non-time-averaged configurations and therefore find more defects, which are short lived and hence not visible in our time-averaged structure. These authors extract activation energies for SiO defects and OSi defects, resolved according to coordination number. If we blindly average their data for $z = 5$ and $z = 3$ for SiO defects, we find an average activation energy of 2.1 eV, which is in good agreement with our value of 2.0 eV. Similarly, the average activation energy for OSi defects is 1.8 eV, in good agreement with our value of 1.7 eV.

Consistent with the above coordination number distributions, $M^{D}_{OO}$ and $M^{D}_{OSi}$ are very small. Most defects are OO defects. These findings give further support to the picture of very stable tetrahedra with relaxation processes mainly due to rearrangements of the SiO$_4$ tetrahedra with respect to each other. In fact, it has been argued [40] that rotations of stable...
SiO$_4$ tetrahedra give rise to a decoupling of oxygen and silicon dynamics at low temperatures.

### B. Lifetime of defects via time correlation

So far, we have simply counted the number of defects. Next we look in more detail by observing the defects as they change at different times. To address this question, we define a correlation function for defects of the same particle occurring at different times $t_1$ and $(t_1 + t)$:

$$
C^{DD}(t, \alpha, \beta) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \chi_\alpha^D(t, \beta) \chi_\alpha^D(t_1 + t, \beta) \right\rangle
- \left\langle \frac{1}{N_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \chi_\alpha^D(t_1, \beta) \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{N_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha} \chi_\alpha^D(t_1 + t, \beta) \right\rangle.
$$

For the comparison of different $\alpha, \beta$, we normalize by the initial value,

$$
\tilde{C}^{DD}_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{C^{DD}(t, \alpha, \beta)}{C^{DD}(t = 0, \alpha, \beta)}.
$$

Figures 9 and 10 reveal a strong temperature dependence: with increasing temperature, all $\tilde{C}^{DD}_{\alpha,\beta}$ decay faster. To quantify this decay for various defect types $\alpha\beta$, we define the lifetime $\tau_{\alpha\beta}^{DD}$ as the time when $\tilde{C}^{DD}_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau_{\alpha\beta}^{DD}) = 0.1$ (we find qualitatively the same results for other values than 0.1). In Fig. 11, we show $\tau_{\alpha\beta}^{DD}$ as a function of inverse temperature. For all defect types, the lifetime $\tau_{\alpha\beta}^{DD}$ increases with decreasing $T_f$ approximately from jump processes and diffusion. Single-particle (sudden) jump events [32] are of mean duration of $\langle \Delta t_d \rangle = 0.01$ ns (see upper arrow in Fig. 11). We conclude that all defects survive the duration of a jump, consistent with a possible change of the coordination by jump processes (with the only exception of Si-O defects at the highest temperature).

The time spent between successive jumps follows a broad distribution [32], ranging from time scales as short as $10^{-2}$ ns to 10 ns. All defects, with the exception of OO defects at the lowest temperature, are shorter lived than the average period between successive jumps. This can also be clearly observed from Figs. 14, 15, and 17, where we show defects, as parametrized by $\chi_i^D(t_1, \beta)$ and jump events, parametrized similarly (see below), as a function of time. We conclude that between jump events, defects are created and destroyed.

![Figure 9](image1.png)

**FIG. 9.** (Color online) Normalized time correlation $\tilde{C}^{DD}_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ as defined in Eqs. (5) and (6) for final temperatures $T_f = 2500$ K (top curve) to $T_f = 3250$ K (bottom curve).
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**FIG. 10.** (Color online) Similar to Fig. 9, but here for $\tilde{C}^{DD}_{\alpha,\beta}$.
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**FIG. 11.** (Color online) $\tau_{\alpha\beta}^{DD}$ vs $10^4/T_f$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \{\text{Si}, \text{SiO}\}$ (large open symbols) as compared to Arrhenius fits (lines) $\tau_{\alpha\beta}^{DD} = C \exp(\Delta E/RT_f)$ with $E_a = 3.76/2.92/3.37/4.39$ eV for SiSi/SiO/OSi/OO, respectively. For comparison, we show the time interval $\Delta t_{eq}$ over which we average (lower arrow), the time duration of jumps $\langle \Delta t_d \rangle$ (upper arrow), and the equilibration time $t_{eq}$ (stars).
The longest time scale in our system is the equilibration time \( t_{eq} \), whose definition requires some care. In [31], the authors define \( t_{eq} \) for a given temperature as the time when the incoherent intermediate scattering function becomes waiting time independent. Similarly, it was shown in [32] that also the jump dynamics becomes waiting time independent for \( t > t_{eq} \) with the same values of \( t_{eq} \), which will henceforth be used here and is shown by star symbols in Fig. 11. All defects decay on shorter time scales; only the lifetime of OO defects at the lowest temperature becomes comparable to \( t_{eq} \). Similarly, all defect lifetimes are substantially longer than the shortest time scale under consideration, \( \Delta t_{nv} \), which is indicated by the lower arrow in Fig. 11.

How are these findings related to previous work by Horbach and Kob [6] and Saksaengwijit and Heuer [18], who determine activation energies for diffusion and viscous relaxation? The quoted values, \( E_A = 4.6–4.8 \) eV for oxygen [6,18] and \( E_A = 5.18 \) eV for silicon [6], are higher than the activation energies determined for defect lifetimes. A priori, it is not clear how defect dynamics is related to diffusion: neither which sort of defect might be important for diffusive mass transport nor how likely it is to simultaneously find a defect and a jump. In order to clarify this point, we study correlations of these events in the next section. The fact that defect activation energies are smaller than the activation energy for diffusion suggests that defects are created more easily and more frequently than atoms jump and not every defect is accompanied by a jumping atom. In particular, diffusive motion is likely to occur via a series of correlated jump events.

We have checked that our results are robust with respect to choice of \( T_i \) and waiting time \( t_w \). For \( T_i = 2500 \) and 2750 K, the results are qualitatively the same for \( T_i = 3760 \) and 5000 K and \( 0 \leq t_w \leq 26.2 \) ns; for larger temperatures \( T_i \), the results agree even quantitatively.

V. CORRELATION OF JUMPS AND DEFECTS

Before discussing the correlations of jump events and defects, we briefly recall the methods [32] to identify and analyze jump events.

A. Jumps

Whereas in the previous section we characterized the relaxation dynamics with defects and their occurrence as function of time \( \chi_{J}^{i}(t_{f},\beta) \), we now follow the approach of [32]. For each time-averaged single-particle trajectory \( \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i}(t) \), we identify single-particle jump events using Eq. (2) of [32]. This means that a jump event of particle \( i \) occurs if

\[
|\mathbf{r}_i(t_f) - \mathbf{r}_i(t_{j-1})| > 3\sigma_i
\]

holds, where \( \sigma_i \) is the average fluctuation size for particle \( i \) of type \( \alpha \). Please note that all of the following results are qualitatively the same if we use, instead of the factor 3, the factor \( \sqrt{2} \). Numbering the jump events of particle \( i \) by \( k \), we determine for each jump event the time \( t_{\text{init}}^k \) when the particle starts to jump and the time \( t_{\text{end}}^k \) when the particle jump is finished. We then define an indicator function for the jumpers, in close analogy to the defects, by

\[
\chi_{J}^{i}(t_f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_{\text{init}}^i \leq t_f \leq t_{\text{end}}^i \text{ for jumps } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]  

An example for the trajectory of an oxygen atom at 2500 K is shown in Fig. 12, clearly revealing the jump events.

The average fraction of jumping particles,

\[
M_{\alpha}^{J} = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\alpha}} \chi_{J}^{i}(t_f),
\]

is shown in Fig. 13. As expected, \( M_{\alpha}^{J}(T_i) \) is increasing with increasing \( T_i \) and can be fitted to Arrhenius behavior (lines) with \( E_{A}^{Si} = E_{A}^{O} = 2.89 \) eV. Activation energies determined...
from the diffusion coefficient [6] are considerably higher: $E_A^{\text{Si}} = 5.18$ eV and $E_A^{\text{D}} = 4.66$ eV.

B. Correlation of jumps and defects

So far we have investigated the dynamics of the system from two perspectives: defects and jumps. Having identified jump events by $\chi(t_i)$ and defects by $\chi(t_i)$, we can now quantify the correlations between the two sorts of events. To illustrate our approach, we show in Fig. 14 $\chi(t_i)$ and $\chi(t_i)$ for an O jumper whose trajectory is shown in Fig. 12. A similar plot for an Si jumper is shown in Fig. 15. If the horizontal lines of $\chi(t_i)$ and $\chi(t_i)$ are aligned, this implies a strong correlation of jumpers and defects.

Both jumping atoms and defects are rare events at low temperatures. If atoms were jumping independently from creating defects, then the joint probability that an atom is simultaneously a jumper and a defect would be given by the product of two very small probabilities, which can be estimated as follows. The probability $p$ for a particle to be a jumper can be approximated by the fraction of jumpers $p = M^J_{\alpha}$ and, similarly, the probability $q$ for a particle to be a defect can be approximated by $q = M^D_{\beta}$. If the events were independent, the joint probability for a particle to simultaneously be a defect and a jumper would be $pq$. At $T_i = 2500$ K, the smallest value of $pq$ is $2 \times 10^{-6}$ for OSi defects and the largest value of $pq$ is $10^{-4}$ for OO defects. In contrast, Figs. 14 and 15 indicate a larger likelihood of $\chi(t_i)$ and $\chi(t_i)$ being aligned and thus suggest a strong correlation.

A quantitative measure for the correlations of jumpers and defects is the following correlation function:

$$A_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{DM}} = \frac{\langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \chi(t_i) \rangle \langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \chi(t_i) \rangle - \langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \chi(t_i) \rangle \langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \chi(t_i) \rangle}{\langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \chi(t_i) \rangle \langle \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \chi(t_i) \rangle}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The defect-jumper correlation is shown in Fig. 16 for both types of jumpers (indicated by the first letters) and both types of corresponding defects (indicated by the last letters). The correlation of a defect involving a wrong coordination between an Si atom and an O atom is very high at low temperatures for both Si atoms and O atoms jumping (red and green line, respectively). Only the correlation between an O atom which is jumping and not correctly coordinated with other O atoms is less well pronounced. We interpret these results as follows: A breakup of the SiO$_4$ tetrahedra, which destroys the appropriate coordination between Si and O atoms, is required for a jump to occur, whereas the relative motion of two tetrahedra with respect to each other can create an OO defect but is not necessarily accompanied by a jump.

At high temperatures, the correlation of jumpers and defects is small, which can also be guessed from single trajectories; see Fig. 17. It is also apparent from the single trajectory (Fig. 17) that the O atom which jumps is very often simultaneously an OSi defect, whereas OO defects are so frequent that hardly any correlation can be detected. This is reflected in the average correlation (see Fig. 16) of the O jumper, which is four times higher for OSi defects than for OO defects at $T = 3250$ K.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we analyzed time-averaged single-particle trajectories $\mathbf{r}_x(t_i)$ at temperatures well below the glass transition temperature. Loosely speaking, the time average allowed
us to watch a movie of the complicated particle dynamics by filtering out the background noise of vibrations, revealing the underlying major relaxational processes. Using \( f (K) \), we determined the radial distribution function and coordination number distribution. Both are very sharply peaked, reflecting a highly structured network of O corner sharing SiO\(_4\) tetrahedra in which almost all particles have the ideal coordination number. This led us to focus on deviations from this well-defined local neighborhood in order to find the excitations which are responsible for the slow structural relaxation in the random network.

We defined defects in the time-averaged structure by an indicator function: \( \chi^\alpha_i (t, \beta) = 1 \), if particle \( i \) of type \( \alpha \) at time \( t \) has coordination \( z^\alpha_{\beta} (t) \neq z^\alpha_{\beta} \) perfect. We computed the average number of defects and the time-delayed autocorrelation of \( \chi^\alpha_i (t, \beta) \), from which we extracted the average lifetimes of defects. We observe a very strong variation of lifetimes for different sorts of defects. SiO and OSi defect correlations decay fast; in the movie analogy, they correspond to short flashes which come and go. (Note, however, that we are looking at time scales which are larger than jump times and are huge compared to oscillation periods.) In contrast, OO defects are very long lived; their lifetime becomes comparable to the equilibration time for \( T = 2750 \) K. All lifetimes are strongly temperature dependent. For example, the lifetime of OO defects decreases by a factor of 100 in the temperature range \( 2500 \leq T \leq 3250 \) K. Given the rather mild temperature dependence of the average structure as described by the pair correlation, we expect that defects are one of possibly other excitations which determine the temperature dependence of glassy properties at low temperatures. This issue needs to be explored further in future work.

Local structural rearrangements are achieved by jumping atoms, i.e., atoms which move considerably further than a typical oscillation amplitude. The statistics of jumpers in SiO\(_2\) has been studied in previous work \([31,32]\). We expect that single-particle jumps go hand in hand with the creation and annihilation of defects and hence we have computed the correlation of defect and jump events. At low temperatures, defects involving Si-O bonds are highly correlated to single-particle jumps (SiO defects for jumping Si atom and OSi defects for jumping O atom). The correlation between an OO defect and the corresponding jumping O atom is less well pronounced. We conclude that defects which breakup a SiO\(_4\) tetrahedron are required for a jump. On the other hand, OO defects due to the relative motion of two tetrahedra with respect to each other are created much more frequently, so that many OO defects are not accompanied by a jump event.

It would be interesting to investigate spatial correlations of defect and jump events and relate our work to studies of dynamic heterogeneities in which the most mobile particles are selected (for reviews, we refer the reader to \([2,41]\)). Spatial correlations, however, require a larger simulation size, which is planned for the future. Another interesting extension are other network formers such as B\(_2\)O\(_3\) and BeF\(_2\), for which we expect defects to be well defined. We leave it for future work to study their defect dynamics as presented here. Our analysis of time-averaged trajectories shines light on the main features of structural changes and is easily applicable to simulations and experiments of other network-forming and non-network-forming systems and other strong and fragile glass formers. It remains to be seen whether the defect and jump dynamics presented here is a universal phenomenon.

FIG. 16. (Color online) Correlation of defects and jumps \( A_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \) as defined in Eq. (10). The lines are a guide to the eye. The inset shows the same data in a semilogarithmic plot.

FIG. 17. (Color online) Similar to Fig. 14 for the same O atom, but here, for \( T = 3250 \) K, we show the defect functions \( \chi^\alpha_i (t) \) of OO defects (top figure) and OSi defects (bottom figure) and the jump function \( \chi^\alpha_i (t) \) (middle figure).
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[33] This is not a complete list of BKS simulations. For further work, please see references therein.