Microscopic Picture of Aging in SiO$_2$
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We investigate the aging dynamics of amorphous SiO$_2$ via molecular dynamics simulations of a quench from a high temperature $T_i$ to a lower temperature $T_f$ below the glass transition, crystallization is avoided and a glass is formed. The resulting out of equilibrium (aging) dynamics has been hotly debated for the last decades and remains unclear $^{[1,2]}$. Most previous studies on the aging dynamics investigated quantities that are averages over all particles in the system, such as mean squared displacement, incoherent intermediate scattering function, dynamic susceptibility, and energy $^{[3,4]}$. On the other hand much less is known about single particle dynamics during aging. For colloids, Cianci $et$ $al.$ investigated the structure $^{[5]}$ and Yunker $et$ $al.$ $^{[6]}$ focused on irreversible rearrangements as a function of waiting time $t_w$. Warren and Rottler used computer simulations to investigate single particle hopping events for a binary Lennard-Jones mixture without shear as well as for polymers with and without shear $^{[7,8]}$. To gain a more complete picture of the microscopic processes during aging, we study single particle hopping (jump) events for the very different glass former SiO$_2$. Whereas the systems of Warren and Rottler are fragile glass formers, SiO$_2$ belongs to the class of strong glass formers $^{[1]}$.

We determine the number of jumping particles per unit time, the jump length, and the time spent in a cage for a wide range of waiting times $t_w$ and for several choices of $T_i$ and $T_f$. To study the aging dynamics of amorphous silica we carried out molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using the van Beest-Kramer-van Santen (BKS) potential $^{[9]}$ for the particle interactions. Starting from 20 independent fully equilibrated configurations at high temperatures $T_i \in \{5000$ K, $3760$ K$\}$, the system is quenched instantaneously to lower temperatures $T_f \in \{2500$ K, $2750$ K, $3000$ K, $3250$ K$\}$. To keep the temperature at $T_f$ constant and to disturb the dynamics minimally, the Nosé-Hoover thermostat was applied only for the first 0.33 ns (NVT), and the simulation was continued in the NVE ensemble for 33 ns during which $T_f$ stayed constant. For more information on details of the simulation see Ref. $^{[4]}$.

We focus on the microscopic dynamics at the lower temperature $T_f$ by analyzing the single particle trajectories $r_n(t)$. During the production runs at $T_f$ we stored average positions $\bar{r}_n(t_l)$ and fluctuations $\sigma_n(t_l) = \sqrt{\langle r^2_n(t_l) \rangle - \langle \bar{r}_n(t_l) \rangle^2}$ for each particle $n$ at times $t_l = l \times (0.00327$ ns). Here $\langle \ldots \rangle$ is a time average over 0.00327 ns, which corresponds to 3200 MD steps and 2000 MD steps for the NVT and NVE simulation runs, respectively. We then use the resulting $\bar{r}_n(t_l)$ to identify jump events. For example, Fig. 1 shows the $z$ component of $\bar{r}_n(t_l)$ for $n = 315$; rectangular boxes indicate identified jumps. We define a particle $n$ to undergo a jump if its change in average position

$$\Delta \bar{r}_n = |\bar{r}_n(t_l) - \bar{r}_n(t_{l-\Delta})|$$

satisfies

$$\Delta \bar{r}_n > 3\sigma_\alpha,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\sigma_\alpha$ is the average fluctuation size for particle type $\alpha \in \{\text{Si, O}\}$. Because $\sigma_\alpha$ is intended to be a measure of average fluctuations during each particle’s rattling within its cage of neighbors, we first determine the estimate $\sigma_{\text{est,} \alpha}^2$ by averaging $\langle \sigma_n(t_l) \rangle^2$ over all times $t_l$ of a given simulation run at $T_f$ and over all particles of the same type $\alpha$. We then determine $\sigma_\alpha$ by redoing the average over $\langle \sigma_n(t_l) \rangle^2$, but by averaging only over times for which $\langle \sigma_n(t_l) \rangle^2 < 3\sigma_{\text{est,} \alpha}^2$, which roughly excludes jumps from the average. Note that the definition of Eq. (2) is similar, but not identical, to our analysis in Refs. $^{[10,11]}$. To verify that our results are independent of the details of the jump definition, we replaced Eq. (2) with $\Delta \bar{r}_n > \sqrt{2}\sigma_\alpha$ and
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Indeed found qualitatively the same results as are presented here, for which we used Eq. (2) [12].

We study for all simulation runs all jump events occurring during the production run at $T_f$. For each jump event $k$ we determine the particle $n_k$ jumping from average position $(f_{n_k})^i$ at time $t_k^i$ to average position $(f_{n_k})^f$ at time $t_k^f$ (see circles in Fig. 1).

Our focus is on the dynamics of the system as it is aging over time. We investigate it via the jump events and their dependence on the waiting time $t_w$, i.e., the time elapsed since the temperature quench to $T_f$. We divide the simulation run into waiting time windows, as indicated in Fig. 1. For each jump event $k$ with jump time $t_k^i$ we determine the waiting time window which includes $t_k^i$ (the interval $\Delta t_w$ in Fig. 1) and assign to this waiting time window the waiting time $t_w$ of the left border of the selected time window (see Fig. 1).

We therefore obtain jump statistics for each waiting time window starting at time $t_w$ and of duration $\Delta t_w$ (see Fig. 1). In Fig. 2 we show the number of distinct particles jumping per observation time $\Delta t_w$ as function of waiting time $t_w$ [13]. We find for all investigated $T_f$ and both $T_i$ a clear $t_w$ dependence. With increasing waiting time $N_p/\Delta t_w$ decreases following roughly a power law until equilibrium is reached and $N_p/\Delta t_w(t_w)$ becomes independent of $t_w$ and $T_i$. The power law exponents are approximately the same for O and Si atoms in the range $[-0.6/\text{ns}, -0.3/\text{ns}]$. As one might expect, the larger the $T_f$, the more particles jump and the earlier the equilibrium time $t_{eq}$, i.e., the time when $N_p/\Delta t_w$ levels off. For comparison we include in Fig. 2 the equilibrium times $t_{eq}$ determined via the intermediate incoherent scattering function $C_q(t_w, t_w + t)$ ($t_{eq} = t_{23}$ in Ref. [4]).

Next we test whether the $t_w$ dependence also manifests itself in a microscopic length scale. As sketched in Fig. 1, we define the jump length of event $k$ of particle $n_k$ jumping at time $t_k^i$ from $(f_{n_k})^i$ to $(f_{n_k})^f$ to be

$$\Delta R_k^i = |(f_{n_k})^f - (f_{n_k})^i|.$$  

Similar to above, we investigate the $t_w$ dependence of $\langle \Delta R \rangle$ by including in the average only events for which $t_k^i$ belong to the same waiting time window. The resulting Fig. 3 shows that $\langle \Delta R \rangle$ for oxygen atoms (solid thick lines with symbols) is independent of $t_w$ (with the only exception being the first time window), and for silicon atoms (dashed thin lines) $\langle \Delta R \rangle$ is only slightly $t_w$ dependent. This is in stark contrast to $N_p/\Delta t_w$ of Fig. 2, which shows strong $t_w$ dependence. The $t_w$ independence of $\langle \Delta R \rangle$ holds true even for the distribution $P(\Delta R)$, both for O and for Si atoms, as shown in Fig. 4 for the case of $T_i = 5000\, K$, $T_f = 2500\, K$. We find similar results for all other investigated $T_i$ and $T_f$. Consistent with Fig. 3, we find only $t_w$ dependence for $t_w \leq 0.02\, \text{ns}$ (which corresponds in an experiment to the undetectable instant of an infinitely fast quench). For $t_w > 0.02\, \text{ns}$ an additional peak occurs at $\Delta R = 0$ that is...
mostly due to reversible jumps (as defined in Ref. [10]).

Furthermore we find exponential tails \( P(\Delta R) \sim \exp(-\Delta R/R_{\text{decay}}) \) with \( R_{\text{decay}} = 0.8 \) and 0.3 Å for O and Si atoms, respectively (similar to the results for a binary Lennard-Jones mixture [7]).

With the conclusion from Figs. 3 and 4 that the length scale \( \Delta R \) is \( t_w \) independent, we investigate next the time scales associated with the single particle jumps. We define the duration of a jump event \( k \) to be

\[
\Delta t_k^d = t_{k+1}^f - t_k^f \tag{4}
\]

that means the time spent in the cage before the same particle jumps again (see Fig. 1). The resulting \( \langle \Delta t_k^d \rangle \) and \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \) are shown in Fig. 5. The time between jumps \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \) is several magnitudes larger than \( \langle \Delta t_k^d \rangle \). For comparison with \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \) we include arrows on the right to indicate \( t_k^f \) at \( t_w = 23.98 \) ns of Ref. [4], which is defined to be the time for which \( C_q(t_w, t_w + t_k^f) = 0.625 \). Because \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle > t_k^f \), we conclude that \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \) is characterizing \( \alpha \) relaxation. As above, we determined the \( t_w \) dependence by averaging \( \Delta t_k^b \) and \( \Delta t_k^b \) for all jump events \( k \) for which \( t_k^f \) belongs to the same waiting time window. By choosing this definition of \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \) we prevent artifacts due to the different time window sizes, because only \( t_k^f \) (instead of \( \Delta t_k^b \)) is required to be in the time window of consideration. For large \( t_w \), however, the finite simulation run time \( t_{\text{tot}} = 33.33 \) ns causes \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \) to decrease for waiting times \( t_w \geq (t_{\text{tot}} - \Delta t_k^b) \). Ignoring this \( t_{\text{tot}} \)-specific decrease, we therefore obtain the surprising result that \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \) is independent of \( t_w \). This independence of \( t_w \) holds not only for the average \( \langle \Delta t_k^b \rangle \), but even for the whole distribution \( P(\Delta t_k^b) \), as shown in Fig. 6. Also in Fig. 6 we notice that \( P(\Delta t_k^b) \sim \Delta t_k^b \) at \( T_f = 2500 \) K, whereas \( P(\Delta t_k^b) \sim \exp(-\Delta t_k^b/t_{\text{decay}}) \) at \( T_f = 3250 \) K. In Fig. 7 we show how \( P(\Delta t_k^b) \) plotted versus \( \Delta t_k^b \) changes with the final temperature, for a fixed \( t_w = 8.75 \) ns. We observe that at intermediate temperatures, i.e., \( T_f = 2750 \) K and \( T_f = 3000 \) K, there is a

\[
\Delta t_k^b = t_{k+1}^f - t_k^f \tag{5}
\]
crossover from power law to exponential decay. For comparison we include in Fig. 7 the same arrows as in Fig. 2, which indicate the equilibrium times $t_w$. The crossover time occurs approximately at the same time when $\frac{N_p}{\Delta t}(t_w)$ and $C_q(t_w, t_w + \tau)$ reach equilibrium. A similar crossover has been observed for kinetically constrained models (see Fig. 10 of Ref. [14]) and for a binary Lennard-Jones mixture (see Fig. 2 of Ref. [15]).

In summary, we obtain the following microscopic picture of aging: both the distribution of jump length and the distribution of times spent in the cage $P(\Delta t_b)$ are independent of waiting time $t_w$ (similar to the results of Warren and Rottler [7,8]). Instead the only $t_w$ dependent microscopic quantity is the number of jumping particles per time, which decreases with increasing $t_w$ (similar to the results of Yunker et al. [6]). This is consistent with the first hop time results reported in Refs. [7,8]. We plan to investigate in the near future spatial correlations of these jumps [11,16]. In agreement with kinetically constrained models $P(\Delta t_b)$ shows a crossover from power law to exponential decay [14]. Our results for the strong glass former SiO$_2$ are surprisingly similar to the fragile glass former results [7,8].
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[12] Please note that for $\sigma_a$, we averaged over all times $t_l$ and thus assumed the size of the rattling within the cage, $\sigma_a$, to be $t_w$ independent. To confirm this assumption we also determined $\sigma^2_{\text{tot},a}(t_w)$ and $\sigma_a(t_w)$ by averaging only over $t_l$ within the corresponding $t_w$ window. We find that $\sigma_a(t_w)$ is indeed $t_w$ independent for $t_w > 0.04$ ns, namely for Si atoms $\sigma_a = 0.36/0.38/0.41/0.44 \text{ Å}$ and for O atoms $\sigma_a = 0.46/0.49/0.51/0.55$ Å at $T_f = 2500/2750/3000/3250$ K, respectively. For $t_w < 0.04$ ns $\sigma_a$ is slightly increased by $\Delta \sigma_a \approx 0.05$ Å.

[13] To avoid that all particles jump, we choose a small enough window. For the case of $\Delta t_w > 0.506$ ns we therefore divide the waiting time window into subwindows of size $\Delta t = 0.506$ ns and average over $\frac{N}{\Delta t}$.

