

Peer Evaluation of Team Members
CSCI 479 December 8, 2008

Please write the names of all of your group members, *including yourself*, and rate the degree to which each member fulfilled his/her responsibilities in completing the Team Project. The possible ratings are as follows:

- 1. Excellent** -- Consistently went above and beyond --- tutored teammates, carried more than his/her fair share of the load.
- 2. Very good** -- Consistently did what s/he was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative.
- 3. Satisfactory** -- Usually did what s/he was supposed to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative.
- 4. Ordinary** -- Often did what s/he was supposed to do, minimally prepared and cooperative.
- 5. Marginal** -- Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared.
- 6. Deficient** -- Often failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared.
- 7. Unsatisfactory** -- Consistently failed to show up or complete assignments, unprepared.
- 8. Superficial** -- Practically no participation.
- 9. No show** -- No participation at all.

Your name _____ Rating _____

Team member name _____ Rating _____

Team member name _____ Rating _____

Team member name _____ Rating _____

These ratings should reflect each individual's level of participation and effort and sense of responsibility, not his or her academic ability.

Comments: (Comments are required for any rating of 5 or higher.)

Your signature: _____