Lecture Outline for Friday, Dec. 1

1. Crank-Nicholson (often spelled Crank-Nicolson) method applied to heat equation (continued)

$$c\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$

a. Implicit update equation:

$$u_{i-1,j+1} - \left(2 + \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t}\right)u_{i,j+1} + u_{i+1,j+1} = -u_{i-1,j} + \left(2 - \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t}\right)u_{i,j} - u_{i+1,j}$$

Left-hand side has terms at three adjacent locations (i + 1, i, and i - 1), which leads to a set of coupled equations, that is, a system of equations (matrix equation).

b. Special cases at boundaries. For Dirichlet BCs $(u_{1,j+1} = u_a \text{ and } u_{Nx,j+1} = u_b)$ use:

at x = a, substitute $u_{1,j+1} = u_{a,j+1}$ and $u_{1,j} = u_{a,j}$ (if u_a does not vary with time, then substitute $u_{1,j+1} = u_{1,j} = u_a$):

$$\begin{split} u_{a,j+1} - & \left(2 + \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t} \right) u_{2,j+1} + u_{3,j+1} = -u_{a,j} + \left(2 - \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t} \right) u_{2,j} - u_{3,j} \\ \rightarrow & - \left(2 + \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t} \right) u_{2,j+1} + u_{3,j+1} = -u_{a,j+1} - u_{a,j} + \left(2 - \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t} \right) u_{2,j} - u_{3,j} \end{split}$$

at x = b, substitute $u_{Nx,j+1} = u_{b,j+1}$ and $u_{Nx,j} = u_{b,j}$ (if u_b does not vary with time, then substitute $u_{Nx,j+1} = u_{Nx,j} = u_b$):

$$\begin{split} u_{N_{x}-2,j+1} - & \left(2 + \frac{2\Delta x^{2}}{c\Delta t}\right) u_{N_{x}-1,j+1} + u_{b,j+1} = -u_{N_{x}-2,j} + \left(2 - \frac{2\Delta x^{2}}{c\Delta t}\right) u_{N_{x}-1,j} - u_{b,j} \\ \rightarrow & + u_{N_{x}-2,j+1} - \left(2 + \frac{2\Delta x^{2}}{c\Delta t}\right) u_{N_{x}-1,j+1} = -u_{N_{x}-2,j} + \left(2 - \frac{2\Delta x^{2}}{c\Delta t}\right) u_{N_{x}-1,j} - u_{b,j} - u_{b,j+1} \end{split}$$

c. Note that the first equation involves terms at i = 2 and i = 3 (but not at i = 1) and that the last equation involves terms at $i = N_x - 2$ and $i = N_x - 1$ (but not at $i = N_x$). The total number of equations is therefore equal to $N_x - 2$, which results in an $(N_x - 2) \times (N_x - 2)$ system of equations:

(continued on next page)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\alpha & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & -\alpha & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\alpha & 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -\alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{2,j+1} \\ u_{3,j+1} \\ u_{4,j+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_x-2,j+1} \\ u_{N_x-1,j+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_{a,j+1} - u_{a,j} + \beta u_{2,j} - u_{3,j} \\ -u_{2,j} + \beta u_{3,j} - u_{4,j} \\ -u_{3,j} + \beta u_{4,j} - u_{5,j} \\ \vdots \\ -u_{N_x-3,j} + \beta u_{N_x-2,j} - u_{N_x-1,j} \\ -u_{N_x-2,j} + \beta u_{N_x-1,j} - u_{b,j} - u_{b,j+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where
$$\alpha = 2 + \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t}$$
 and $\beta = 2 - \frac{2\Delta x^2}{c\Delta t}$.

Furthermore, the right-hand side can be expressed in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} -u_{a,j+1} - u_{a,j} + \beta u_{2,j} - u_{3,j} \\ -u_{2,j} + \beta u_{3,j} - u_{4,j} \\ -u_{3,j} + \beta u_{4,j} - u_{5,j} \\ \vdots \\ -u_{N_x - 3,j} + \beta u_{N_x - 2,j} - u_{N_x - 1,j} \\ -u_{N_x - 2,j} + \beta u_{N_x - 1,j} - u_{b,j} - u_{b,j+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & \beta & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \beta & -1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & \beta & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{2,j} \\ u_{3,j} \\ u_{4,j} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_x - 2,j} \\ u_{N_x - 2,j} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -u_{a,j+1} - u_{a,j} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -u_{b,j} - u_{b,j+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

d. Can express the matrix equation as

$$A\mathbf{u}_{i+1} = B\mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{c}$$

$$\text{where } A = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & -\alpha & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\alpha & 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -\alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{2,j+1} \\ u_{3,j+1} \\ u_{4,j+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_x-2,j+1} \\ u_{N_x-1,j+1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{u}_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{2,j} \\ u_{3,j} \\ u_{4,j} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_x-2,j} \\ u_{N_x-1,j} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & \beta & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \beta & -1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & \beta & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_{a,j+1} - u_{a,j} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -u_{b,j} - u_{b,j+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(continued on next page)

e. At each iteration (time step), evaluate the matrix expression

$$A\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = B\mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{j+1} = A^{-1}B\mathbf{u}_j + A^{-1}\mathbf{c}$$

Matrices A and B (and vector \mathbf{c} as well if the boundary conditions are not time varying) do not change with time, so $A^{-1}B$ and $A^{-1}\mathbf{c}$ can be computed once and stored before the algorithm begins. If boundary conditions are time varying, then $A^{-1}\mathbf{c}$ must be evaluated at each time step, but A^{-1} can be precalculated.

Matrix multiplication is time consuming, but at least Gaussian elimination is not required.

Matrix *A* is tridiagonal and positive definite; efficient routines are available to compute inverse.

- f. Implicit method \rightarrow no restriction on size of Δt for stability purposes. The method is unconditionally stable when applied to the heat equation.
- g. Accuracy is second order in space and time, which means that errors are proportional to Δx^2 and Δt^2 . Accuracy improved if Δx , Δt , or both are decreased, but computation time increases.