



SAFE: Simulation Automation Framework for Experiments

L. Felipe Perrone
Christopher S. Main

Department of Computer Science
Bucknell University, PA, U.S.A.

Bryan C. Ward

Department of Computer Science
University of North Carolina Chapel Hill
Chapel Hill, NC, U.S.A.



Undergraduate Collaborators

- Christopher Kenna (Bucknell BSCS '10)
- Bryan C. Ward (Bucknell BCSE '11)
- Andrew W. Hallagan (Bucknell BCSE '11)
- Tiago Rodrigues (UFPI, Brazil)
- Christopher Main (Bucknell BSCS '13)
- Vinícius Felizardo (UNICAMP, Brazil)
- Shelby Kilmer (Bucknell BSCS '15)

Frameworks for ns-3 Collaborators

- Tom Henderson, Boeing/University of Washington
- Mitch Watrous, University of Washington
- George Riley, Georgia Tech

Related Work

- Akaroa2 (K. Pawlikowski et al.)
- James II (R. Ewald et al.)
- STARS (E. Millman et al.)
- ANSWER (M. Andreozzi and G. Stea)

Scripts for Organizing Simulations (SOS)

Authors: Tim Griffin, Srdjan Petrovic, Anna Poplawski, and BJ Premore

URL: <http://ssfnet.org/sos/>

“This set of scripts was put together to ease the process of running a large number of experiments with varying parameters, and manage the resulting data. The work required to do such things manually can be quite large, especially taking into account that the number of experiments that need to be run in order to obtain representative data is often big. A script which plots the data using gnuplot is also included.

The SOS package was originally put together to run experiments with **SSFNet**. Other researchers heard about it and wanted to use it to run experiments and collect data, so we made it more generic to work with any set of experiments performed on the computer (without making it any less useful for the users of SSFNet).”

Scripts for Organizing 'Spiriments (SOS)


Authors: Tim Griffin, Srdjan Petrovic, Anna Poplawski, BJ Premore

URL: <http://ssfnet.org/sos/>

“This set of scripts was put together to ease the process of running a large number of experiments with varying parameters, and manage the resulting data. The work required to do such things manually can be quite large, especially taking into account that the number of experiments that need to be run in order to obtain representative data is often big. A script which plots the data using gnuplot is also included.

The SOS package was originally put together to run experiments with SSFNet. **Other researchers heard about it and wanted to use it to run experiments and collect data, so we made it more generic to work with any set of experiments performed on the computer** (without making it any less useful for the users of SSFNet).”

The SOS Workflow



- Database contains complete experimental set up.
- Database schema must be customized to experiment.
- Script carries out execution (might have to customize).
- Experimenter writes extractors (have to customize).
- Scripts to make plots from dB data (have to customize).


Lessons Learned with SOS

- The database was crucial: having the experimental setup paired with the output of every experiment is priceless.
- Customizing SOS was somewhat complicated:
 - Quite a bit of work to make extractors.
 - Mining the results database was not exactly trivial.
 - Almost every plot required customization of script.
- In the move from one university to another, the experimental database was corrupted and all data was lost.

The Homemade Approach

Created ad hoc Ruby scripts to:


- Generate experimental design points.
- Build configuration file for each experiment from a template and design points.
- Launch experiments on multiple machines.
- Extract data from simulator output and build directory structure.
- Traverse directory structure and build custom plots.



A Step in the Right Direction

Enhancing the Credibility of Wireless Network Simulations with Experiment Automation

L. Felipe Perrone, Christopher J. Kenna, and Bryan C. Ward
IEEE International Workshop on Selected Topics in Mobile and Wireless Computing 2008.



A web based interface for simulating wireless networks with SWAN.


Edit Mobility Configuration

Mobid	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Mobility Type	<input type="text" value="waypoint"/>
Pause Time (s)	<input type="text" value="60"/>
Increment	<input type="text" value="30"/>
Number of Levels	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Min Speed (m/s)	<input type="text" value="5"/>
Increment	<input type="text" value="5"/>
Number of Levels	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Max Speed (m/s)	<input type="text" value="10"/>
Increment	<input type="text" value="5"/>
Number of Levels	<input type="text" value="2"/>

pause_time = [60,90,120,150]

min_speed = [5, 10]

max_speed = [10, 15]



The interface constrained users to “do the right thing.”

MANET Simulation Studies: The Incredibles

Stuart Kurkowski, Tracy Camp, and Michael Colagrosso
SIGMOBILE Mob. Comput. Commun. Rev., vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 50–61, 2005.

“For our study we focused on the following four areas of credibility in research.

- 1. **Repeatable:** A fellow researcher should be able to repeat the results for his/her own satisfaction, future reviews, or further development.*
- 2. **Unbiased:** The results must not be specific to the scenario used in the experiment.*
- 3. **Rigorous:** The scenarios and conditions used to test the experiment must truly exercise the aspect of MANETs being studied..*
- 4. **Statistically sound:** The execution and analysis of the experiment must be based on mathematical principles.”*

Requirement 1: Self-documenting system

The system stores/generates/returns:

- Simulation source-code
- Model attribute settings
- Experiment parameters
- Raw output data
- Processed output data
- Presentation quality plots

This makes reproducibility, documentation, and reporting fool-proof.

Requirement 2: Execution Control

- Execution guided by high level experiment description
- Exploit available systems via MRIP
- Collect more samples by running more simulations
- Generates random seeds for each run

This makes execution easier, safer, and possibly faster.

Requirement 3: Automatic Output Processing

- Results stored in local file system and also communicated to a server
- Samples processed by verified statistical package

This guarantees that output is safe and correctly processed.

Lessons Learned from SWAN Tools

SWAN Tools was a good first crack at the larger problem.


We wished for a more powerful, flexible system which:

- ▶ Might possibly work with various network simulators.
- ▶ Allows for more configurability (SWAN Tools restricted the parameters in experiment design space) and controllability.
- ▶ Allows for the incorporation of advances in scenario development (model construction).

Frameworks for ns-3


NSF CISE Community Research Infrastructure

- University of Washington (Tom Henderson), Georgia Tech (George Riley), Bucknell Univ. (L. Felipe Perrone)
- Project timeline: 2010-2014



SAFE: Simulation Automation Frameworks for Experiments


L. Felipe Perrone, Christopher S. Main, and Bryan C. Ward
Proceedings of the 2012 Winter Simulation Conference



User Stories


- **Power user:** develops models, write ns-3 scripts, uses SAFE to launch experiments, process and safekeep results, generate presentation quality graphs. Mostly via command-line tools.
- **Novice user:** uses SAFE to configure experiments with pre-canned ns-3 scripts, process and safekeep results, generate presentation quality graphs. Mostly via web-browser interface.

Workflow (1-2)




- 1) User writes ns-3 script for the experiment; stores within local ns-3 installation.
- 2) User (or system) generates experiment configuration file in NEDL.

Workflow (3)




3) ns-3 installation archived; credentials verified with server; bundle transferred to user compartment in server under unique experiment id.

Workflow (4)




4) Server deploys bundle across worker machines (clients); builds ns-3 locally in each.

Workflow (5)




5) Launcher daemon starts: EEM with NEDL file; termination detector process. EEM computes design points and waits for requests.

Workflow (6)




6) Clients detect number of cores and spawn one SC for each. SCs request a design point and spawn ns-3 execution: samples generated sent to EEM.

Workflow (7)




7) EEM receives samples and stores in database.

Workflow (8)




8) Termination Detector evaluates body of samples; when termination condition reached, tells EEM to send shutdown message to SCs.

Workflow (9)



9) SCs receive shutdown message: archive simulation artifacts generated locally and send to EEM.

Workflow




Ongoing and Future Work

Web Interfaces and Data Visualization

- Web interface for configuration and control.
- Exploratory data analysis through web browser.
- Generation of presentation quality graphs.


micro
view



macro
view

Steady-State Detection

- Batch Means
- MSER and variations
- MSER-5




Data Collection Framework

Objective: extend TraceSource mechanism to facilitate output generation in ns-3

- **DCFObject:** base class for DCF elements
- **Probe:** extends TraceSources for controllability
- **Collector:** Arbitrary computations on sampled data
- **Aggregator:** Marshall data into various output formats

Existing DCF Classes



Thanks for your attention!

Questions?