## PHYS 334 Electromagnetic Theory II

## In Class Exercise 1 — January 17, 2024

Name: Solutions

1. Use the divergence theorem, Eq. (1.56), to convert Gauss's law from differential to integral form. Do these two forms have identical content, i.e., does one imply the other? Argue why or why not.

Divergente theorem: 
$$\int_{U} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{r}) dr = \oint_{S} 2r \cdot d\vec{a}$$
  
 $\vec{r} \cdot \vec{E} = \vec{F}_{S} \Rightarrow \int_{U} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{E}) dr = \underbrace{k}_{S} \int_{U} p dr$   
LHS:  $\int_{U} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{E}) d\vec{r} = \oint_{S} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{a}$   
RHS:  $\frac{1}{26} \int_{U} p d\vec{r} = \underbrace{Qex}_{S}$   
 $S^{a} (\oint_{S} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{a} = \underbrace{Qex}_{S}) \sqrt{\int_{U} \vec{r} \cdot \vec{E} d\vec{r}} \int_{U} \vec{r} \cdot \vec{E} d\vec{r} = \underbrace{Qex}_{S} \sqrt{\int_{U} \vec{r} \cdot \vec{E} d\vec{r}} \int_{U} p d\vec{r}$ 

2. Use Stokes' Theorem, Eq. (1.57), to convert Faraday's law from differential to integral form. Any Do these two forms have identical content, i.e., does on imply the other? Argue why or why not.

Stokes' theorem: 
$$\int (\nabla x \vec{v}) \cdot d\vec{a} = \int \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{v}$$
  
 $\vec{\nabla} x \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \implies \int (\vec{\nabla} x \vec{E}) \cdot d\vec{a} = -\int \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{a}$   
LHS:  $\int (\vec{\nabla} x \vec{E}) \cdot d\vec{a} = \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{k}$   
RHS:  $-\int \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{a} = -\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{a} = -\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$   
So  $\vec{E} \cdot d\vec{k} = -\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$   
P  $\int \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{k} = -\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$   
 $V$  Form we can show  
 $\int (\vec{\nabla} x \vec{E}) \cdot d\vec{a} = -\int \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{k}$   
which boys for any surface S, so the integrands  
must be equal.